

REMARKS

Claims 3, 7, 8, and 17 are amended for clarity, and are supported by the specification. Claims 22-26 are added and are supported by the specification and claims as filed, particularly original claim 12. Claims 27 and 28 are added and are supported by the specification, for example, at least at page 7, lines 24-27, and page 8, lines 28-29. Favorable reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested

Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 12 and 17 are each rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Han (US 6,608,707). Applicants traverse the rejections for at least the following reasons.

With respect to claim 3, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest an image input device to enable digital image input from digital image media to the memory of the portable imaging system. Han discloses only saving of scanned images to the digital media, and provides no motivation for storing images from portable media onto a portable scanning device, rather than simply keeping such images on the portable media.

With respect to claim 4, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest an input device of a keyboard or touchscreen display. Han discloses at col. 5, lines 55-60, that the scanner can incorporate “a personal computer (PC) central processing unit (CPU) underneath the scanner platen...” It is the CPU that is incorporated. Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest inclusion of a keyboard or touchscreen. Han also does not teach, disclose, or suggest any device adapted to collect information associated with the digital image, as required in claim 4.

With respect to claim 7, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest display of advertisement information. Even assuming arguendo that Han teaches a display of the type claimed by Applicants, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest its use for advertising information, or provide any incentive to place advertisements on such as display. Han is directed to improving workflow, which display of advertisements would impair.

With respect to claim 8, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest tracking an image name or scanning date with software. The Patent Office references col. 12, lines 6-8, as support for a teaching of software tracking information related to the scanned image. Applicants submit the Patent Office

intended to reference lines 10-12, which state the scanner has images “saved in formats readable by Windows or Macintosh operating systems.” Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest that the CPU actually supports such operating systems, or that the CPU tracks information associated with a scanned image, such as an image name or scanning date.

With respect to claim 10, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest an audio input device. Col. 6, lines 15-20, teach an accessory port for a document feeder. There is no suggestion or disclosure of an audio input device for receiving audio information into the scanner.

With respect to claim 17, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest a modulated light source that functions both as the scanning light source and as a display. According to Han, the display is in the control panel, which is separate from the scanner.

With respect to claim 12, and new claims 22 - 26, the claims are patentable over Han for at least the reasons set forth above with regard to the independent claims from which these claims depend.

With respect to new claim 27, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest saving video clips to the scanner memory.


With respect to new claim 28, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest modification of the digital scanned image by zooming, cropping, or rotating. Han only suggests saving the image in a compressed data format.

As set forth above, Han does not teach, disclose, or suggest at least one feature of each and every claim. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are in order, and are respectfully solicited.

All claims being in condition for allowance for at least the above reasons, reconsideration and prompt action in the form of a Notice of Allowance are respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner require anything further, or have any questions, the Examiner is asked to contact Applicants' undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kathleen Neuner Manne', is written over a horizontal line.

Kathleen Neuner Manne
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 40,101

KNM/kjw
Rochester, NY 14650
Telephone: 585-722-9225
Facsimile: 585-477-1148

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.